- ORDAL, E. J., AND B. J. EARP. 1956. Cultivation and transmission of etiological agent of kidney disease in salmonid fishes. Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med., Vol. 92, pp. 85-88.
- RUCKER, R. R., A. F. BERNIER, W. J. WHIPPLE, AND R. E. BURROWS. 1951. Sulfadiazine for kidney disease. Prog. Fish-Cult., Vol. 13, No. 3, pp. 135-137.
- SNIESZKO, S. F. AND PHILLIP J. GRIFFIN. 1955. Kidney disease in brook trout and its treatment. Prog. Fish-Cult., Vol. 17, No. 1, pp. 3-13.
- TUNISON, A. V. 1957. Methods for determining the efficiency of production in the trout hatchery. Prog. Fish-Cult., Vol. 19, No. 2, pp. 51-57.
- TUNISON, A. V., A. M. PHILLIPS, E. O. RODGERS, C. R. MITCHELL, AND C. M. MCCAY. 1938. Cortland hatchery report, No. 7, New York State Conservation Dept., 23 pp.

Food Conversion and Growth Rates for Largemouth and Smallmouth Bass in Laboratory Aquaria

WELLS E. WILLIAMS

Department of Natural Science, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan

ABSTRACT

A laboratory and feeding experiment measuring the food conversion and growth rates of five largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*) ranging in length from 117 to 312 millimeters (4.6 to 12.3 inches) and sixteen smallmouth bass (*Micropterus dolomieu*) ranging in length from 83 to 202 millimeters (3.3 to 7.9 inches) gave average food conversion values of 4.5 for the smallmouth bass, and 3.8 for the largemouth bass when an excess of small, live fish were fed, and average aquarium temperature was 70.3 degrees F. Individual bass consumed from 2.5 to 13.3 percent of their initial body weight daily, with highest consumption usually by the smaller bass. Average daily increase in weight in proportion to initial weight varied considerably, but was also greatest for the smaller fish.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Experimental feeding was conducted in the laboratory of the Department of Fisheries and Wildlife at Michigan State University for a period of fourteen weeks, beginning December 1, 1953, and ending March 9, 1954. Sixteen smallmouth bass (Micropterus dolomieu) and five largemouth bass (Micropterus salmoides) were collected from the Red Cedar and Looking Glass Rivers near East Lansing. The five largemouth bass ranged in length from 117 to 312 millimeters (4.6 to 12.3 inches), and the sixteen smallmouth bass ranged in length from 83 to 202 millimeters (3.3 to 7.9 inches). Individual weights ranged from 19 to 454 grams for the largemouth bass and from 4 to 112 grams for the smallmouth bass (Table 1).

The captured bass were placed in glass-sided aquaria in the laboratory, and were fed approximately all the food they were able to consume for one week before the feeding program began. During this "adjustment period", weights of forage species fed were not recorded. The aquaria were divided into individual compartments by glass "spacer" plates held in place by means of short lengths of rubber tubing, so placed as to allow oxygen diffusion to all compartments. The individual compartments were of approximately 12.5 gallons in capacity for the smallmouth bass, and 14 gallons for the largemouth bass, with the

¹Portion of a Master's thesis submitted to the Graduate Faculty of Michigan State University, June, 1954. exception that the largest bass in the sample was placed in a large, museum-type display aquarium having a capacity of 100 gallons. The spacers were installed to prevent cannibalism and to allow individual observation and feeding of the experimental bass. All aquaria were covered to prevent introduction of foreign materials and escape of fish by leaping. One aerating hose attached to a central compressor was placed in an end compartment of each aquarium. Water was added only when necessary to keep aquaria filled to capacity, and once each week, excrement and accumulated materials were siphoned out.

Individual bass were weighed in water to the nearest 0.1 gram at the beginning of the experiment, three times during its course, and again at its conclusion. Bass weighed were first anesthetized in a one-percent solution (by weight) of ethyl ether. Four bass died while under the anesthesia; data for these bass were recorded up to the time of death, and are included in the results. Forage species were also weighed in water to the nearest 0.1 gram. Length measurements in millimeters were obtained by the use of an ordinary fish-measuring board.

Bass were fed live forage fish twice daily, at 7:30 a.m. and 5:30 p.m. Excess forage fish not consumed in subsequent feeding periods were removed, weighed, and subtracted from the record. It was observed during feedings that the larger bass consistently refused small prey items and often would not feed until larger food species were introduced. This is in agreement with the conclusion reached by Lagler and Kruse (1953) from data taken

FOOD CONVERSION AND GROWTH RATES FOR BASS

WEIGHT AND LENGTH GAINS

Total weight gains for individual bass varied widely (Table 1), with the largemouth bass gaining more weight than smallmouth bass of comparable size. One smallmouth bass (the smallest in the sample) gained five times its starting weight during the 14-week period. Average daily length increases ranged from 0.0 to 0.6 millimeters (Table 2), showing an average daily increment of 0.3 millimeters for the entire sample.

Instantaneous rates, expressed as the natural logarithms of the quotients obtained by dividing the terminal or final weight by the initial or starting weight of bass studied were used to represent the relative growth rates (by weight) of fish in the sample. The relationship is expressed by the formula

$$= \log_{e} \frac{Y_{t}}{Y_{t}}$$

i

where *i* is the instantaneous rate of growth, Y_t is the terminal or final weight, and Y_o the initial weight. The values for *i* were divided by the number of days in the feeding period for individual bass to give *daily* instantaneous growth rates (Table 1).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to express his sincere thanks to Dr. Peter I. Tack, under whose able supervision this investigation was undertaken. He is also greatly indebted to Dr. Robert C. Ball for his valuable suggestions and guidance, to Dr. E. W. Roelofs, for his critical reading of the manuscript, and to Messrs. Robert Chapoton who aided in collecting bass, and Jack Hernly who provided many of the forage fish. TABLE 2.-Length' data for bass

			Number of			
Species	Initia) length	Terminal length	Length gain	days for length gain	· Daily length gain	
Largemou	ith			-		
bass	$117 \\ 121 \\ 124 \\ 133 \\ 312$	$117 \\ 174 \\ 134 \\ 151 \\ 312$		98 98 63 98 62	$\begin{array}{c} 0.6 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.0 \end{array}$	
Smallmou	th					
Dass	$83\\84\\109\\123\\127\\127$	$127 \\ 126 \\ 120 \\ 149 \\ 139 \\ 141 \\ 147$	$44 \\ 42 \\ 36 \\ 40 \\ 16 \\ 14 \\ 20$	98 98 98 52 98 98 98	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.2 \end{array}$	
	$137 \\ 142 \\ 150 \\ 152 \\ 160 \\ 100 $	$156 \\ 156 \\ 174 \\ 160 \\ 100$	$ \begin{array}{c} 19 \\ 14 \\ 24 \\ 8 \\ 8 \end{array} $	98 98 98 98	$0.2 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.1$	
	$160 \\ 167 \\ 169 \\ 192 \\ 202$	202 207 220 207	25 35 38 28 5	98 98 98 98 34	$0.3 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.4 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.2$	

¹ Lengths are given as total length in millimeters.

LITERATURE CITED

- KINGSBURY, OLIVER R. AND WILLIAM F. ROYCE. 1935. Propagation of smallmouth bass in troughs at South Otselic bass hatchery. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc., Vol. 65, pp. 309-312.
- LAGLER, KARL F. AND THOMAS E. KRUSE. 1953. Food conversion in black basses of the genus Micropterus. Jour. Wildlife Mgmt., Vol. 17, pp. 219-221.
- PRATHER, E. E. 1951. Efficiency of food conversion by young largemouth bass *Micropterus salmoides* (Lacepede). Trans. Am. Fish. Soc., Vol. 80, pp. 154-157.
- RICHARDSON, R. E. 1921. The small bottom and shore fauna of the middle and lower Illinois River and its connecting lakes, Chillicothe to Grafton: its evaluation; its sources of food supply; and its relation to the fishery. Bull. Ill. Nat. Hist. Sur., Vol. 13, pp. 359-522.
- Hist. Sur., Vol. 13, pp. 359-522.
 THOMPSON, DAVID H. 1941. The fish production of inland streams and lakes. Symposium on Hydrobiology, Univ. Wis. Press, Madison, 1940, pp. 206-217.

from a similar study using fewer fish. Water temperature in degrees Fahrenheit was recorded at each feeding. During the experimental period, water temperature ranged from 67.0 to 77.0 degrees F.

Forage fishes were held in cement tanks in the laboratory. The species used included the brook stickleback, *Eucalia inconstans;* the fathead minnow, *Pimephales promelas;* northern redbelly dace, *Chrosomus eos;* the common bluegill, *Lepomis macrochirus;* the golden shiner, *Notemigonus crysoleucas auratus;* and three species of the genus *Notropis.* All forage fish were of sizes small enough to be eaten by bass to which they were fed.

FOOD CONVERSION

At a mean water temperature of 70.3 degrees F. (from a low of 67.0 to a high of 77.0 degrees F. during the feeding period) the average food conversion value for smallmouth bass was 4.5, and that for the largemouth bass 3.8 (Table 1). These mean values are some-

what less than the ratio of 5 to 1 suggested by Richardson (1921) for fish living primarily on animal food. Individual bass varied considerably in the ability to convert food to flesh; conversions ranged from 2.1 to 6.9, with a tendency being shown for smaller bass to have lower (more efficient) food conversion ratios. This relationship was not regular enough to admit statistical verification.

The average daily food consumption for all bass studied was 4.3 percent of the initial body weight. This value is slightly more than the optimum rate of 4 percent found by Thompson (1941) for largemouth bass ranging in size from fingerlings to one pound in weight. Prather (1951) stated that daily feedings of more than 5 percent of initial body weight to largemouth bass yearlings were poorly utilized; the present study clearly indicated that efficient conversions (ratios below 3.0) were obtained by feeding from 2.5 to 13.3 percent of the initial body weight daily. It was evident (Table 1) that food requirements of the smaller individuals were greater than for larger fish.

TABLE 1 — Weight data, feeding rates, food conversion, and growth rates of largemouth and smallmouth bass

Species	Initial weight (grams)	Final weight (grams)	Weight gained (grams)	Feeding period (days)	Food consumed (grams)	Percentage initial weight consumed per day	Percentage initial weight gained per day	Con- version rate	Daily instant- aneous growth rate
Largemouth								0.2	0.00957
hass	19	44	25	98	57	3.1	1.4	2.5	0.00007
pass	20	75	55	98	129	6.6	2.8	2.3	0.01549
	22	72	50	98	107	5.0	2.3	2.1	0.01209
	27	38	11	63	63	6.9	1.2	5.7	0.00542
	464	526	62	62	409	2.0	0.3	6.6	0.00185
	101	200					Average	: 3.8	
Smallmouth								26	0.01029
bass	4	24	20	98	52	13.3	5.1	2.6	0.01828
puso	5	25	-20	98	56	11.4	4.1	2.8	0.01042
	â	22	14	98	51	6.5	1.8	3.6	0.01052
	13	-33	20	98	82	6.4	1.5	4.1	0.00951
	22	57	35	98	107	6.5	1.6	4.0	0.00971
	24	31	7	52	31	2.0	0.4	4.4	0.00492
	24	33	7	98	55	2.2	0.3	7.9	0.00243
	20	46	15	98	79	2.6	0.5	5.2	0.00403
	2/	40	15	98	98	2.9	0.4	6.5	0.00373
	20	49	27	98	120	3.1	Ó.7	4.4	0.00537
	39 40	55	15	98	98	2.5	0.4	6.5	0.00325
	40))	12	98	112	2.1	0.8	2.6	0.00589
	>>	98	40	00	154	2.8	0.5	5.5	0.00414
	56	84	52	90	198	3.4	0.9	3.7	0.00789
	60	115	22	2/	121	3.2	0.9	3.7	0.00792
	111	144	22	24	200	2.8	0.6	4.8	0.00467
	112	177	65	98	509	2.0	Averag	e: 4.5	